REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The present amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action dated June

4, 2003, which set a three-month period for response, making this amendment due by

September 4, 2003.

Claims 7-13 are pending in this application.

In the Office Action, the Applicants' Preliminary Amendment filed 9/25/01 and

IDS statement, submitted on 4/29/02, were acknowledged. Claims 7, 9, and 11-13

were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No.

4,790,743 to Leikert et al. Claims 8 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Leikert et al as applied to claims 7 and 9 and further in view of

U.S. Patent No. 5,411,394 to Beer et al.

The Applicants respectfully disagree that the Leikert reference anticipates or

makes obvious the present invention as defined in claims 7-13, whether viewed alone

or in combination with the Beer et al reference.

However, to more clearly define independent claim 7 over these references,

claim 7 has been amended in line 4 to add the words "from fuel and primary air" in order

to clarify how the sub-stoichiometric primary zone in the form of a flame core is

produced.

The primary reference to Leikert discloses a method for burning a nitrogen-

containing fuel, while reducing the emission of nitrogen oxides. Leikert's method

includes the steps of producing a sub-stoichiometric primary zone in the form of a flame

core. However, Leikert fails to disclose a step of supplying the flame core with a

nitrogen oxide reducing agent, as defined in claim 7 of the present application.

Page 4 of 6

Furthermore, Leikert does not disclose that the reducing agent is a nitrogen compound

or a hydrocarbon, also set forth in claim 7.

Leikert teaches using a reduction <u>fuel</u>, that is, a fuel that is used as a reducing

agent. In contrast, the method of the present invention does not use a fuel as a

reducing agent. This is clear regarding the nitrogen compound.

However, the hydrocarbon, when used according to the method of the present

invention, also is not used as a fuel, that is, it is not burned or oxidized. Rather, as

disclosed in the present application, the hydrocarbon is used as a source for CHi

radicals that are used to reduce nitrogen oxide.

In addition, Leikert teaches feeding the reduction fuel into a secondary flame

zone in the region of the primary flame zone; the primary flame zone is generated by

supplying a primary fuel (coal dust) and combustion air to the burner (Leikert, column 2,

lines 19-26 and column 3, lines 31-35). Thus, Leikert can be included with the prior art

discussed in the present application on page 3, lines 4-8.

According to the prior art references distinguished in the present application, a

reducing agent is introduced into a separate reduction zone that is situated between the

burner zone and the burn-out zone. The primary flame zone (Fig. 1 of Leikert)

corresponds to the primary fuel or flame core as defined in claim 7 of the present

invention. According to Leikert, the reduction fuel is not injected into the primary flame

zone 7. Rather, the reduction fuel is injected around the primary flame zone 7 to form a

secondary flame zone around the primary flame zone.

In contrast, in the present invention, the reducing agent is introduced into the

primary zone, that is, the flame core.

Page 5 of 6

App. No 09/856,342

Amdt. Dated September 4, 2003

Reply to Office Action of June 4, 2003

The secondary reference to Beer, cited in combination with Leikert in support of

the rejection of claims 8 and 10 under Section 103, does not disclose supplying a

reducing agent to the flame core. Again, then, because claims 8 and 10 depend from

claim 7, the combination of Leikert and Beer cannot render obvious the subject matter

of claims 8 and 10.

For the reasons set forth above, the Applicants respectfully submit that claims 7-

13 are patentable over the cited references. The Applicants further request withdrawal

of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 and reconsideration of the claims as

herein amended.

In light of the foregoing amendment and argument in support of patentability, the

Applicants respectfully submit that this application now stands in condition for

allowance. Action to this end is courteously solicited. However, should the Examiner

have any further comments or suggestions, the undersigned would very much welcome

a telephone call in order to discuss appropriate claim language that will place the

application into condition for allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert W. Becker, Reg. No. 26,255

Robert - Becke

for applicant(s)

ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES

707 Highway 66 East, Suite B

Tijeras, NM 87059

RWB:mac

Telephone: (505) 286-3511

Facsimile: (505) 286-3524

Page 6 of 6